



## 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update

The instructions for completing the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) Annual Update follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Cambrian School District	Lisa MacFarland Principal	macfarlandl@cambriansd.com 408-377-3022

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
1	High Student Achievement: STEAM School will provide high quality and dynamic instruction for all students (including EL, SED, and SpEd) while preparing them for the 21st century, college and career readiness.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Fastbridge aMath	89% of all students in grades 2-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath.	90% of all students in grades 2-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standards on Fastbridge aMath.	92% of all students in grades 2-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standards on Fastbridge aMath.	91% of all students in grades 2-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standards on Fastbridge aMath.	98% of all students in grades 1-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath
Fastbridge aReading	86% of all students in grades 2-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aReading.	91% of all students in grades 2-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standards on Fastbridge aReading.	91% of all students in grades 2-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aReading.	89% of all students in grades 2-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aReading.	98% of all students in grades 1-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aReading
English Learner (EL) Fastbridge aMath and aReading.	62% of EL students are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 49% on Fastbridge aReading.	61% of EL students are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 63% on Fastbridge aReading.	66% of EL students are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 53% on Fastbridge aReading.	74% of EL students are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 57% on Fastbridge aReading.	80% of all students in grades 1-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aReading and aMath
Students with Disabilities (SWD)	61% of SWD are meeting or exceeding	55% of SWD are meeting or exceeding	45% of SWD are meeting or exceeding	58% of SWD are meeting or exceeding	80% of all students in grades 1-8 are

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Fastbridge aMath and aReading.	grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 46% on Fastbridge aReading.	grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 67% on Fastbridge aReading.	grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 62% on Fastbridge aReading.	grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 63% on Fastbridge aReading.	meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aReading and aMath
Annual State Smarter Balanced Assessment in ELA/Literacy & Math for All students and by Significant Student Groups (ELs, SED, SWD, Ethnicities)	SBAC 2019 Meeting or exceeding grade level All ELA: 84% All Math: 80% ELA EL: * Math EL: * ELA SED: 80% Math SED:74% ELA SWD: 36% Math SWD: 39% ELA Hispanic: 66% Math Hispanic: 57%	No Data to Report	Meeting or exceeding grade level All ELA: 85% All Math: 74% ELA EL: 38% 13 students Math EL: 30% ELA SED: 61% 13 students Math SED: 38% ELA SWD: 37% 22 students Math SWD: 34% ELA Hispanic: 71% 41 students Math Hispanic: 63%	Meeting or exceeding grade level All ELA: 83% 347 students All Math: 79% ELA EL: 36% 17 students Math EL: 47% ELA SED: 44% 9 students Math SED: 55% ELA SWD: 38% 26 students Math SWD: 40% ELA Hispanic: 68% 43 students Math Hispanic: 58%	Meeting or exceeding grade level All ELA: 90 % All Math: 90% ELA EL: 70% Math EL: 70% ELA SED: 70% Math SED:70% ELA SWD: 70% Math SWD: 70% ELA Hispanic: 80% Math Hispanic: 80%
SED Fastbridge aMath and aReading.	65% of SED students are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 51% on Fastbridge aReading.	69% of SED students are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 67% on Fastbridge aReading.	72% of SED students are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 69% on Fastbridge aReading.	73% of SED students are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aMath and 57% on Fastbridge aReading.	80% of all students in grades 1-8 are meeting or exceeding grade-level standard on Fastbridge aReading and aMath
CA Dashboard English Language Proficiency Indicator (ELPI)	64% making progress towards English Language Proficiency	TBD	65% making progress towards English Language Proficiency	65% making progress towards English Language Proficiency	80% EL students making progress towards English Language proficiency

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
	per CA Dashboard 2019.		per CA Dashboard 2022	per CA Dashboard 2022	per CA Dashboard.
Redesignated Fluent English Proficient	7 EL students Redesignated Fluent English Proficient	6 EL students Redesignated Fluent English Proficient	12 EL students Redesignated Fluent English Proficient. 34%	12 EL students Redesignated Fluent English Proficient. 34%	15% EL students Redesignated Fluent English Proficient
100% Sufficiency of Instructional Materials per School Accountability Report Card (SARC) Local Indicator	100% Sufficiency of Instructional Materials per School Accountability Report Card (SARC) Local Indicator	100% Sufficiency of Instructional Materials per School Accountability Report Card (SARC) Local Indicator	100% Sufficiency of Instructional Materials per School Accountability Report Card (SARC) Local Indicator	100% Sufficiency of Instructional Materials per School Accountability Report Card (SARC) Local Indicator	100% Sufficiency of Instructional Materials per School Accountability Report Card (SARC) Local Indicator
District Writing PBA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>49% of students K-8 are scoring at a 2-just below grade level on writing assessments</li> <li>43% of K-8 students are scoring at or above grade level</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>28% of students K-8 are scoring at a 2-just below grade level on writing assessments</li> </ul> <p>69% of K-8 students are scoring at or above grade level</p>	<p>Fall: Winter 2022-23 K-8</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grades K-5 (at or above level) 32%</li> <li>Grades 6-8 (at or above level) 22%</li> </ul> <p>Spring 2022-23</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grades K-5 (at or above level) 50%</li> <li>Grades 6-8 (at or above level) 72%</li> </ul>	<p>Fall: Winter 2022-23 K-8</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grades K-5 (at or above level) 32%</li> <li>Grades 6-8 (at or above level) 22%</li> </ul> <p>Spring 2022-23</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Grades K-5 (at or above level) 50%</li> <li>Grades 6-8 (at or above level) 72%</li> </ul>	80% of of K-8 students will be on or above grade level on writing assessment

# Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

There were no substantive differences in planned actions this year. We implemented all actions outlined in Goal one this year.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

The only difference in budgeted expenditures was that the district funded IM1 instead of it coming from the site budget.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

Our staff worked hard to improve outcomes for all students by analyzing data, breaking students into small groups and providing progress monitoring to ensure their interventions were working. Based on the Fastbridge data, our SED students improved over the year and almost made their goal of 80% proficient or advanced in math. However, their ELA scores showed improvement but fluctuated. Our EL students demonstrated growth especially in math. Their ELA scores also fluctuated.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

After reflecting on the planned goals and actions, there were no major changes resulting. Our students performed well overall and our subgroups made progress. We will continue to find ways to support our subgroups as they remain significantly below our overall population of students.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
2	Effective Leadership, Teaching and Learning: Steindorf STEAM School will provide high quality staff through recruitment, retention and professional development so every student thrives.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
All teachers are appropriately assigned and credentialed in subject area per the annual School Accountability Report Card (SARC) report.	100% teachers are appropriately assigned and credentialed in subject area per the annual School Accountability Report Card (SARC) 2020	100% teachers are appropriately assigned and credentialed in subject area per the annual School Accountability Report Card (SARC) 2021	100% teachers are appropriately assigned and credentialed in subject area per the annual School Accountability Report Card (SARC) 2022	100% teachers are appropriately assigned and credentialed in subject area per the annual School Accountability Report Card (SARC) 2023	100% teachers are appropriately assigned and credentialed in subject area per the annual School Accountability Report Card (SARC).
Staff Professional Learning/Collaboration Evaluation Tool	<u>80</u> % of teachers/staff indicated that the professional development, training & staff collaboration was an effective use of their time. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	<u>81</u> % of teachers/staff indicated that the professional development, training & staff collaboration was an effective use of their time. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	<u>90</u> % of teachers/staff indicated that the professional development, training & staff collaboration was an effective use of their time. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	<u>95</u> % of teachers/staff indicated that the professional development, training & staff collaboration was an effective use of their time by rating it a 4 or 5. 23-24	90% of teachers/staff indicated that the professional development, training & staff collaboration was an effective use of their time.
Principal Walkthrough Tool to monitor classroom implementation of best Tier 1	<u>80</u> % of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently.	<u>85</u> % of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently.	<u>85</u> % of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently.	<u>85</u> % of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently. 23-24	90% of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
instructional practices consistently, including GLAD, Number Talks, Designated/Integrated ELD, Guided Reading, etc.	(Baseline established in 2021-22)Based on principal observations	(Baseline established in 2021-22)	(Baseline established in 2021-22)	(Baseline established in 2021-22)	
Begin tracking baseline data of Glad Trained Teachers routinely implementing hip pocket strategies	<u>80</u> % of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	80% of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	80% of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	80% of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently.23-24 (Baseline established in 2021-22)	90% of teachers implementing best Tier 1 instructional practices consistently. (Baseline established in 2021-22)
Designated/Integrated ELD	<u>80</u> % of teachers implementing designated/integrated ELD consistently. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	80% of teachers implementing designated/integrated ELD consistently. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	80% of teachers implementing designated/integrated ELD consistently. (Baseline established in 2021-22)	80% of teachers implementing designated/integrated ELD consistently.23-24 (Baseline established in 2021-22)	100% of teachers teach designated integrated ELD.

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

As a site, we strive to provide multiple opportunities for professional development, especially in the area of PBL and Workshop. Teachers took advantage of the professional development opportunities in summer and over the school year.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

There was not a significant difference between budgeted expenditures and actual expenditures for goal 2.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

The actions for professional development made a difference in the teaching of students. Teachers have indicated that the trainings were a good use of their time and improved their teaching. We have many teacher leaders and their expertise has been invaluable when providing mentoring to other teachers.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

We will continue with the professional development opportunities for this next LCAP cycle. The teachers at Steindorf have demonstrated that they are passionate about learning new things and have demonstrated implementing these learned strategies in their classrooms.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year's actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year's actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**



# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
3	Positive School Environment, Climate and Culture: Steindorf STEAM School will provide a supportive, orderly and purposeful environment so that students can reach their full academic potential.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
School Climate Survey - Students would like to see good behavior noticed at school	Only 77% of elementary and 78% middle school feel good behavior is noticed.	Only 80% of elementary and 69% middle school feel good behavior is noticed.	Only 65% of elementary and 54% middle school feel good behavior is noticed.	Only 52% of students feel good behavior is noticed.	90% of students in 3rd-8th grade will report that they feel like good behavior is noticed.
SWIS Major Office Referrals	Less than 1% of students received a Major office referrals in 2020-2021	62 students received a major referral-12%	8 students received a total of 48 Major referrals	11 students (3 or more referrals)received a total of 62 Major referrals	Maintain less than 10% of students to receive a major office referral
Suspension Rate	0 students suspended in 2020-2021	5 students were suspended 1%	5 students were suspended 1%	1 student was suspended	Maintain less than 1% of students to be suspended
Chronic Absenteeism Rate	1.2% of our students are chronically absent according to the 2019 California Dashboard	chronic absenteeism 3.68%	Chronic Absenteeism 2.4 %	Chronic Absenteeism 2.4 %	Less than 1% of students will be chronically absent according to the California Dashboard
School Facilities are maintained in good repair as reported in SARC	School Facilities are maintained in good repair as reported in SARC	School Facilities are maintained in good repair as reported in FIT report- Steindorf exemplary	School Facilities are maintained in good repair as reported in FIT report- Steindorf exemplary	School Facilities are maintained in good repair as reported in FIT report- Steindorf exemplary	School Facilities are maintained in good repair as reported in SARC and FIT report

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
School Attendance Rate	98%	97%	96.5%	96.6 %	Maintain an attendance rate above 95%

## Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

We did not hire extra Valley Sports personnel and just has the district paid services. The cost of our yard duty were paid for out of a lottery fund that remained at the district level. All other actions were implemented.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

We did not hire extra Valley Sports personnel and just has the district paid services. The cost of our yard duty were paid for out of a lottery fund that remained at the district level. All other actions were implemented.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

Our actions

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description
4	Strong Parent and Community Engagement: Promote a welcoming and inclusive environment for all parents, families, and community stakeholders as partners in the education and support of all students' success in school.

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for 2023–24
Parent participation in school events, such as Principal's Coffees and HSC meetings.	20% of parents participate in parent coffees, 5% of parents participate in HSC meetings.	This year less than 5% of parents participated principal coffees and HSC meetings.	We did not have any principal coffees due to low attendance. HSC meetings continue to have low attendance-less than 10%		30% of parents will participate in parent coffees, 10% of parents will attend HSC meetings.
Parent Participation in School Committees, such as School Site Council, ELAC, Home & School Club, etc.	Steindorf has all positions required filled on all committees. The meeting participation usually consists of sitting Board or committee members only.	Steindorf has all positions required filled on all committees. The meeting participation usually consists of sitting Board or committee members only.	Steindorf has all positions required filled on all committees. The meeting participation usually consists of sitting Board or committee members only.		10% increase of parents will attending and participating in HSC meetings.
Parent Engagement Survey	61 parents responded to survey.	95 parents responded	97 parents responded		200 parents or more will respond to parent survey

# Goal Analysis

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

**A report of the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for last year’s actions may be found in the Annual Update Table. A report of the Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services for last year’s actions may be found in the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table.**

**Instructions**

For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education’s (CDE’s) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at [lcff@cde.ca.gov](mailto:lcff@cde.ca.gov).

Complete the prompts as instructed for each goal included in the 2023–24 LCAP. Duplicate the tables as needed. The 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update must be included with the 2024–25 LCAP.

**Goals and Actions**

**Goal(s)**

**Description:**

Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

**Measuring and Reporting Results**

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

**Metric:**

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

**Baseline:**

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

**Year 1 Outcome:**

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

**Year 2 Outcome:**

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

**Year 3 Outcome:**

- When completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.

**Desired Outcome for 2023–24:**

- Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

Timeline for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Year 3 Outcome	Desired Outcome for Year 3 (2023–24)
Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.	Enter information in this box when completing the 2023–24 LCAP Annual Update.	Copy and paste verbatim from the 2023–24 LCAP.

**Goal Analysis**

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective in achieving the goal. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

A description of any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions.

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal. Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process. This must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

An explanation of how effective or ineffective the specific actions were in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions in making progress toward the goal during the three-year LCAP cycle. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the desired result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or desired result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, desired outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

California Department of Education  
November 2023



# Local Control and Accountability Plan

The instructions for completing the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) follow the template.

Local Educational Agency (LEA) Name	Contact Name and Title	Email and Phone
Cambrian School District	Lisa MacFarland Principal	macfarlandl@cambriansd.com 408-377-3022

## Plan Summary [2024-25]

### General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Steindorf STEAM School is a magnet school located in the Cambrian School District. We serve students in kindergarten through eighth grade. Our demographics include just under 500 students, 5% socio-economically disadvantaged, 8% English language learners, 7% of our students qualify for special education services, and we have no foster youth. Steindorf emphasizes STEAM (Science, Technology, Engineering, Art, and Math) education through Project Learning and content integration. PBL is an instructional method where students gain knowledge and skills by working for an extended period of time to investigate and respond to an engaging and complex problem that features real-world content, tasks, or interests. Students can learn in our Makerspace every week, participate in music class every week, and take art lessons every other week, K-6. Our middle school students get to explore the Makerspace twice a week and have the opportunity to participate in a variety of electives. Students at Steindorf consistently engage in learning and innovation skills of creativity, collaboration, critical thinking, and communication. Steindorf has high standards for success, and it is expected, supported, and achieved. Our school environment allows all students to succeed in various ways to meet each child's social and academic goals. Collaboration is evident in all facets of our school community. When you walk our campus, you should expect to see sustained inquiry, student-driven work, feedback that fortifies and improves student work, authentic projects that focus on real-world problems and solutions, and restorative practices in each classroom.

Learning occurs in a safe, comfortable environment where students feel known, valued, and cared for and where quality teaching is deliberate and by design. Students are supported socially and emotionally in a variety of ways. Positive interventions and Supports (PBIS)

are implemented throughout the school day. Our Steindorf expectations are that we are caring and respectful, responsible decision-makers, and problem solvers.

Steindorf has strong parent involvement. The HSC board works with the administration and broader community to plan community and fundraising events to support our school. In addition to planning community events and fundraisers, parents spend many hours volunteering in classrooms and giving feedback to students through PBL panels and Writer's Workshop celebrations.

Cambrian is a small school district with a long history of a safe learning environment. This year, we participated in Incident Command System ICS training. All students and staff participated in lockdown, earthquake, and fire drills. We work closely with our local fire and police departments to keep our campus safe.

## Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

As we reflect on the past year at Steindorf STEAM School, reviewing our performance on the California State Dashboard is important. This comprehensive tool provides valuable insights into various aspects of our school's performance, highlighting areas of success and identifying growth opportunities.

### Academic Performance

ELA: All students 82% scored at or above level; this is down 3% from last year

Math: All students 78% scored at or above level; this is up 4% from last year

5th grade Science: All Students 72% scored at or above level; this is down 1% from last year

8th grade Science: All Students 66% scored at or above level; this is down 20% from the previous year

Our teachers' dedication and our students' hard work have resulted in impressive scores that exceed state averages. Our STEAM-focused curriculum has played a significant role in this success, fostering critical thinking, creativity, and problem-solving skills essential for academic excellence.

Fastbridge is our local screener, and our students performed very well, indicating that instruction supports their learning and that they continue to progress.

Spring Fastbridge ELA 89% of 2-8 students scored at/above or low risk: 10% low risk, 1% high risk

Spring Fastbridge Math 90% of 2-8 students scored at/above or low risk: 9% low risk, 1% high risk

Spring Fastbridge ELA 82% of K-1 students scored low risk: 15% some risk and 3% high risk

Spring Fastbridge Math 95% of K-1 students scored low risk: 2% some risk and 3% high risk



### English Learner Progress

The English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI) on the California School Dashboard tracks the percentage of English learner (EL) students in grades 1-12 who progress toward English language proficiency. This progress is measured by comparing students' results on the Summative English Language Proficiency Assessments for California (ELPAC) from the current year to the previous year?.

55.2 of our 29 English Learners are progressing towards English language proficiency in 2023, a decline of 10.2% from the previous year. Some strategies we have employed this year include ensuring our special education students receive the accommodations they need and that are included in their IEPs. We have also had our kindergarten and first-grade assistants focus on vocabulary development with our EL students, given parents access to tools to practice the ELPAC with their children, and ensured we included GLAD training at our staff meetings.

### Academic Engagement

#### Chronic Absenteeism

The California School Dashboard tracks chronic absenteeism as one of its key indicators for assessing school performance. Chronic absenteeism is the percentage of students who miss 10% or more of the instructional days they were enrolled in a school year. This metric applies to kindergarten through 8th grade students, including those in transitional kindergarten.

4.7 percent of our students were chronically absent last year, an increase of 2.2 percent from the previous year. Looking at our subgroups, our Hispanic population had the highest level of Chronic Absenteeism at 13.8%. This is an increase of 3.6% and a concern that we need to address. Students with a disability were absent 5.7%, a rise of 3.3%, and our white student group was absent 3.7%, an increase of 3.1%. We are currently reviewing the chronically absent students. Some students have left our school for various reasons; some have IEP or 504 plans due to chronic health issues, and others need to have Student Study Team meetings with parents to support them and determine the root cause of their truancy.

### Conditions and Climate

#### Suspension Rate

This section explores information about the percentage of kindergarten through grade 12 students who have been suspended for at least one aggregate day in a given school year. Students suspended multiple times or for multiple days are only counted once.

Our suspension rate was 1% in 2022; in 2023, it was 1.6%. The suspension rate remains low and is an indicator of the fidelity with which restorative practices, social-emotional curriculum, and Positive behavior supports are implemented. This year, we have had only one suspension.

# Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Not applicable

# Comprehensive Support and Improvement

An LEA with a school or schools eligible for comprehensive support and improvement must respond to the following prompts.

## *Schools Identified*

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

Not applicable

## *Support for Identified Schools*

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

Not applicable

## *Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness*

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

Not applicable

# Engaging Educational Partners

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Educational Partner(s)	Process for Engagement
------------------------	------------------------

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Site: Steindorf STEAM School

- a. School Site Council (SSC) was directly involved in the development of the Local Control Accountability Plan, including feedback and suggestions. Reviewed School Plan and LCAP goals with both our School Site Council and ELAC over a series of meetings during the year. Also reviewed parent survey results with both teams and discussed their feedback. Full agendas and minutes are available on our website.
- b. Newsletters published to update actions pertinent to LCAP goals.
- c. HSC reviewed LCAP goal areas (student achievement, EL language Learners, School Climate and Community Engagement). Parents asked for feedback and input session.
- d. Staff reviewed LCAP goals and provided feedback via stop, start and continue protocol for each goal at a scheduled staff meeting.
- e. Positive Behavior Intervention Support (PBIS) SWIS data will be reviewed with staff in May 2024 at our year-end PBIS team meeting. We will review target areas for support on campus and student referrals to date, and use this data to plan our focus next year.
- f. Parent survey developed and issued to parents in April 2024. The results were disseminated to HSC, SSC/ ELAC, and at our staff meetings during the April timeframe.
- g. Parent engagement survey was sent to parents to determine actions for State Priority 3. We had 61 responses.
- h. Students in grades 3-8 filled out a school climate survey to determine their feelings toward school.

In general, the review of SPSA/LCAP goals occurred in 5 steps with stakeholder groups including Home and School Club, ELAC, and SSC: Inform and educate all stakeholder groups of the LCAP process. During this step in the process, informational sessions on LCFF/LCAP in various venues across the school community were held. The goal of these sessions was to ensure that our community, staff, including teachers and support staff, and students were informed about the law and its impact on our school and the district. All stakeholders were invited to attend these meetings, including parents, students, school faculty and staff, and teacher representatives. These engagements included Site Leadership Meetings, School Staff Meetings, School Site Council Meetings, English Learner Advisory Committee

Meetings, Home & School Club Meetings, and Principal Coffees. All meetings were facilitated and presented by the Principal and staff leadership when appropriate. In addition to hosting the informational sessions, information about the LCAP was posted on the district website. Having an informed community is essential to ensuring that they are able to provide relevant feedback on how the district should develop its LCAP. Review current goals and progress/status to date. We initiated this process by participating in the District LCAP team, comprised of teachers, staff, parents, principals and district administration. As a member of the District LCAP team, we provided feedback on the current School and District LCAP goals, actions, and services. Following the District LCAP meetings, we held a series of community meetings to collect feedback on strategies to address the eight priority areas. We met with staff, the Home and School Club, School Site Council, and with our English Learner Advisory Committee (ELAC). During the meetings, participants were asked to provide feedback regarding our current LCAP goals and the plans about how to address them. Participants were asked to give feedback on the ideas as well as to suggest new ideas to 2023-24 Local Control and Accountability Plan for Cambrian School District Page 14 of 68 consider. In addition, a school-wide survey covering the areas connected to the 8 state priority areas was sent to the Price community. The results of this survey was summarized and shared with our community and stakeholders in forums such as Home and School Club, SSC, ELAC, and Principals Coffees. These sessions provided the site with some clear areas of identified need and suggested strategies to address that need. We used the information gained during the listening phase to inform our goal-setting and strategy development for the LCAP.

Drafting an LCAP plan was the third step in the LCAP process. During this phase, we developed draft goals and actions and services. Goals were developed to correspond with the district's strategic plan and the feedback gathered from the community. Data was reviewed related to the eight state priority areas and identified which data sources were relevant and most significant to focus on for each strategic plan area.

The data reviewed included:

1. Proficiency Rates in District Fastbridge Screener for ELA & Math
2. Proficiency Rates on the State ELA and Math assessments
3. English Learner Re-designation rates
4. Results from the Community LCAP Survey
5. Behavioral data collected from SAEBRS and SWIS

Review the draft LCAP for 2022-2023 with stakeholders and gather feedback. The site leadership reviewed the draft LCAP with the staff to gather feedback. The staff then shared the draft LCAP with the School Site Council / English Learner Parent Advisory Committee representatives in order to gather further feedback on community priorities and needs. The information developed during this phase led directly to the goals, progress indicators and actions that appear in this LCAP Revision of the LCAP based on final review. Finally, the site leadership shared the draft LCAP with the school district Board of Trustees.

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
1	High Student Achievement: STEAM School will provide high quality and dynamic instruction for all students (including EL, SED, and SpEd) while preparing them for the 21st century, college and career readiness.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

<p>Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning)</p> <p>Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)</p> <p>Priority 4: Pupil Achievement (Pupil Outcomes)</p> <p>Priority 7: Course Access (Conditions of Learning)</p> <p>Priority 8: Other Pupil Outcomes (Pupil Outcomes)</p>
--

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

<p>Every student has the potential for academic success and personal growth, and our commitment to this priority underscores our dedication to realizing that potential for each individual within our school district.</p>
---

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.1	Percentage of students that have access to their own copies of standards-aligned instructional materials for use at school and at home	100%			100%	
1.2	Percent of students performing at or above standard on the Smarter Balance ELA and Math assessments and the	<p>ELA: 82% scored at or above level</p> <p>Math: 78% scored at or above level</p>			<p>ELA: 87% scored at or above level</p> <p>Math: 83% scored at or above level</p>	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	California Science Test (CAST)	5th grade Science: 72% scored at or above level 8th grade Science: All Students 66% at or above level			5th grade Science: 77% scored at or above level 8th grade Science: All Students 71% at or above level (increase of 5%)	
1.3	CA School Dashboard: English Language Arts Distance from Standard for all students, socio-economically disadvantaged (SED) students, English Learner (EL) students, and Students with Disabilities (SWDs)	ELA All: 70.2 points above standard SED: 20 points above standard EL: 36.4 points above standard SWD: 24 points below standard			ELA All: increase of 5 points above standard each year SED: increase of 10 points each year EL: increase of 10 points each year SWD: increase by 10 points each year	
1.4	CA School Dashboard: Mathematics Distance from Standard for all students, socio-economically disadvantaged (SED) students, English Learner (EL) students, and Students with Disabilities (SWDs)	Math All: 66.9 points above standard SED: 14.2 points above standard EL: 37.1 points above standard SWD: 46.8 points below standard			Math All: increase of 5 points above standard each year SED: increase of 10 points each year EL: increase of 10 points each year SWD: increase by 10 points each year	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
1.5	CA School Dashboard English Learner Progress Indicator (ELPI): The percentage of current EL students who progressed at least one ELPI level, maintained ELPI level 4	progressed at least one ELPI level: 38% maintained ELPI level 4: 17% (29 students)			progressed at least one ELPI level: 48% maintained ELPI level 4: 30% (29 students)	
1.6	Percentage of English Learner students reclassified to Fluent English Proficient during the school year	2023 10 out of 49 were reclassified (20%)			2023 50% reclassification	
1.7	Percentage of middle school students enrolled in a Visual and Performing Arts Course	47% receive art instruction every other week 25% are enrolled in a music elective or before school class			47% receive art instruction every other week 25% are enrolled in a music elective or before school class	
1.8	Percentage of elementary students enrolled in a Visual and Performing Arts Course	100% receive music and art instruction			100% receive music and art instruction	
1.9	Percentage of students with disabilities that are in general education classes 80% or more of the time	100% of our students are in a general education class more than 80% of their day.			100% of our students are in a general education class more than 80% of their day.	
1.10	FastBridge Data	Spring ELA 89% of 2-8 students scored at/above or low risk: 10% low risk, 1% high risk			Spring ELA 90% of 2-8 students scored at/above or low risk: 10% low risk, 1% high risk	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
		Spring Fastbridge Math 90% of 2-8 students scored at/above or low risk: 9% low risk, 1% high risk Spring Fastbridge ELA 82% of K-1 students scored low risk: 15% some risk and 3% high risk Spring Fastbridge Math 95% of K-1 students scored low risk: 2% some risk and 3% high risk			Spring Fastbridge Math 90% of 2-8 students scored at/above or low risk: 9% low risk, 1% high risk Spring Fastbridge ELA 90% of K-1 students scored low risk: 15% some risk and 3% high risk Spring Fastbridge Math 95% of K-1 students scored low risk: 2% some risk and 3% high risk	

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.



A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
1.1	Curriculum	Teachers will utilize and implement best instructional practices with fidelity to support all students in achieving grade-level proficiency in the common core state standards. This year we will purchase the updated 3-5 writing units. This will also cover other supplemental curriculum needed over the year.	\$8,000.00	No
1.2	Digital subscriptions	Digital licenses that support differentiation in the classrooms. Learning Ally, Newsela, Library World	\$8,000.00	No
1.3	Intervention Tier 1 and 2	Based on test scores and the need to build on tier 1 and 2 instructional strategy of small group, targeted instruction Aides assigned to classrooms with greatest needs based on data	\$45,000.00	Yes
1.4	Administration costs	Administration costs to support student learning -supplies,	\$50,000.00	No

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
2	Effective Leadership, Teaching and Learning: Steindorf STEAM School will provide high quality staff through recruitment, retention and professional development so every student thrives.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning) Priority 2: State Standards (Conditions of Learning)
--

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Effective teaching significantly influence student learning outcomes and overall school performance. Educator excellence focuses on attracting, retaining, and developing skilled educators dedicated to meeting the diverse needs of every student.
--

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.1	Percentage of teachers who are appropriately assigned (i.e., have an assignment monitoring outcome of “clear”)	100%			100%	
2.2	Average rating on the CA School Dashboard Implementation of Academic Standards Self-Reflection Tool that were rated 4 (Full Implementation) or 5 (Full Implementation and Sustainability)	Our average is 4. We have highlighted a need to fully implement the ELD standards at a level of sustainability.			We would like to achieve an average of level 5.	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
2.3	"Average response rating on the CA School Dashboard Implementation of Academic Standards Self-Reflection Tool (rating scale) 1 - Exploration and Research Phase 2 - Beginning Development 3 - Initial Implementation 4 - Full Implementation 5 - Full Implementation and Sustainability "	Our average is 4. We have highlighted a need to fully implement the ELD standards at a level of sustainability.			We would like to achieve an average of level 5.	
2.4	Staff professional learning and collaboration tool	Staff rated summer professional development and onsite PD on average 5 on a scale from 1-5 in terms of usefulness of topic and skill of instructor			Continue to have a rating of 5 for usefulness of PD and skill of instructor	

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
2.1	Professional Learning Opportunities	PBL and Reader's and Writer's Workshop professional Development is vital to instruction at Steindorf. These trainings are for both new teachers and teachers who would like to strengthen their skills.	\$15,000.00	No
2.2	Professional Development	Two day voluntary training before school starts to focus on planning integration of FOSS, Workshop and PBL. It will also cover how to support our EL students in all content areas. The cost will be to pay teachers to attend	\$12,000.00	No
2.3	Release Time for Teachers	Teachers will have substitute teachers to support learning labs and other opportunities to visit each-other's classrooms	\$4,000.00	No

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
3	Positive School Environment, Climate and Culture: Steindorf STEAM School will provide a supportive, orderly and purposeful environment so that students can reach their full academic potential.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Priority 1: Basic (Conditions of Learning) Priority 5: Pupil Engagement (Engagement) Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)
--

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

An enriching learning environment is vital for supporting students success Physical, social, emotional and cultural factors shape students educational experience. The learning environment supports safe, inclusive, and engaging spaces that motivate and inspire learning.
---

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
3.1	School facility is maintained in good repair	Stiendorf is in good repair - as measured by the FIT tool			Stiendorf is in good repair - as measured by the FIT tool	
3.2	Steindorf average daily attendance rate	96.6			maintain or improve above 96% all three years	
3.3	Percentage of students who attended school 96% or more of the time	100%			100%	
3.4	Percentage of students who were chronically absent: All students,	All: 3% 16 of 488 SWD: 6% 1 of 16 SED: 25% 4 of 16			All: 1% SWD: 3% SED: 12%	

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
	SWD, and Homeless students	Homeless: N/A			Homeless: N/A	
3.5	CA School Dashboard chronic absenteeism rate	4.7 chronically absent			1%	
3.6	Number of middle school dropouts	0			0	
3.7	Percent of students suspended one or more times (Source: CA School Dashboard)	1.6%			0	
3.8	Number of suspensions	1			0	
3.9	Number of expulsions	0			0	
3.10	Percentage of students in grades 2-8 reporting that they feel safe at school most or all of the time	86%			95%	
3.11	Major Office Referrals	62			30 reduce by half	

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
3.1	ASB/PBIS Support	This is extra duty for our Librarian to monitor the ASB account, support activities as well as run the student store and be part of our PBIS committee focusing on motivating positive school culture	\$5,000.00	No
3.2	Food and Snacks for staff meetings and trainings	It improves teacher productivity and mindset when snacks and food are provided during meetings.	\$1,000.00	No
3.3	sports programs	This fund will go to supporting the sports program that donations do not cover and will support paying coaches and refs	\$15,000.00	No
3.4	Restorative Practices	This will support the work of Restorative Practices. We will continue the work with The Town Project and this work will include parent workshops as well as coaching support for our teachers and principal	\$15,000.00	No

# Goals and Actions

## Goal

Goal #	Description	Type of Goal
4	Strong Parent and Community Engagement: Promote a welcoming and inclusive environment for all parents, families, and community stakeholders as partners in the education and support of all students' success in school.	Broad Goal

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

<p>Priority 3: Parental Involvement (Engagement)            Priority 6: School Climate (Engagement)</p>
---

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

<p>Strong connections between schools, families, and the community positively influence student success and improvement. Community engagement cultivates relationships, encourages communication, and utilizes community resources to support student well-being.</p>
---

## Measuring and Reporting Results

Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.1	Percent of parents who responded Strongly Agree or Agree to the parent survey item: Parents have opportunities to give input into the decision-making process at school	57%			80%	
4.2	Total parent attendance counts at parent meetings that meet the needs of low income, English Learner and foster youth students.	Our DLAC parent participated in all but one meeting. Our School Site Council meetings and LCAP meetings have 80% attendance rate.			85% attendance rate	



Metric #	Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
4.3	"Parental Participation in the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELOP) for Low Income, English Learner and Foster Youth students. Parent satisfaction with program (yes or neutral responses). ELOP survey participation rate "	We had 26 students participate in the play. 100% of parents were very satisfied with the opportunity to participate.			Increase the number of students participating in ELOP opportunity by 20%.	

## Goal Analysis [2023-24]

An analysis of how this goal was carried out in the previous year.

A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.

Not Applicable.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

Not Applicable.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

Not Applicable.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

Not Applicable.

## Actions

Action #	Title	Description	Total Funds	Contributing
4.1	Community events	Events to bring families together (example: beginning of the year ice cream social), family picnics ect.	\$5,000.00	No
4.2	Parent Workshop	Restorative practices parent workshop presented by Dr. Congrave of the Town Project	\$5,000.00	No

# Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students [2024-25]

Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant
\$91,066	\$

### Required Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the LCAP Year

Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year	LCFF Carryover — Percentage	LCFF Carryover — Dollar	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year
93.274%	0.000%	\$0.00	93.274%

The Budgeted Expenditures for Actions identified as Contributing may be found in the Contributing Actions Table.

## Required Descriptions

### LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
-------------------	--------------------	---	------------------------------------

### Limited Actions

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
1.3	<b>Action:</b> Intervention Tier 1 and 2	Early intervention is essential to improving outcomes for students	Fastbridge and other classroom formative

Goal and Action #	Identified Need(s)	How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)	Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness
	<p><b>Need:</b> to support EL, SED (below level) students in K-2</p> <p><b>Scope:</b> Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)</p>		assessments -progress monitoring and ELPAC

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

**Additional Concentration Grant Funding**

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

Staff-to-student ratios by type of school and concentration of unduplicated students	Schools with a student concentration of 55 percent or less	Schools with a student concentration of greater than 55 percent
Staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students		
Staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students		

# 2024-25 Total Expenditures Table

LCAP Year	1. Projected LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Input Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)
Totals	97,633	91,066	93.274%	0.000%	93.274%

Totals	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel
Totals	\$188,000.00				\$188,000.00	\$111,000.00	\$77,000.00

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
1	1.1	Curriculum	EL students All with Disabilities	No			Specific Schools: Steindorf STEAM School K-8	one year	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00				\$8,000.00	
1	1.2	Digital subscriptions	English Language Learners with Disabilities	No			All Schools Specific Schools: Steindorf STEAM School	one year	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00				\$8,000.00	
1	1.3	Intervention Tier 1 and 2	English Low Income Learners	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Low Income Learners	Specific Schools: Steindorf STEAM school K-2	on going	\$45,000.00	\$0.00	\$45,000.00				\$45,000.00	
1	1.4	Administration costs	All	No				one year	\$0.00	\$50,000.00	\$50,000.00				\$50,000.00	
2	2.1	Professional Learning Opportunities	accelerated students, EL students, All Students with Disabilities	No				ongoing	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00				\$15,000.00	
2	2.2	Professional Development	EL students All with Disabilities	No			Specific Schools: Steindorf STEAM School	one year	\$12,000.00	\$0.00	\$12,000.00				\$12,000.00	
2	2.3	Release Time for Teachers	EL, All Students with	No					\$4,000.00	\$0.00	\$4,000.00				\$4,000.00	

Goal #	Action #	Action Title	Student Group(s)	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Time Span	Total Personnel	Total Non-personnel	LCFF Funds	Other State Funds	Local Funds	Federal Funds	Total Funds	Planned Percentage of Improved Services
			Disabilities													
3	3.1	ASB/PBIS Support	All	No					\$5,000.00	\$0.00	\$5,000.00				\$5,000.00	
3	3.2	Food and Snacks for staff meetings and trainings	All	No				one year	\$0.00	\$1,000.00	\$1,000.00				\$1,000.00	
3	3.3	sports programs	All	No					\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00				\$15,000.00	
3	3.4	Restorative Practices	All	No				ongoing	\$15,000.00	\$0.00	\$15,000.00				\$15,000.00	
4	4.1	Community events	All	No				one year	\$0.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00				\$5,000.00	
4	4.2	Parent Workshop	All	No				one year	\$0.00	\$5,000.00	\$5,000.00				\$5,000.00	

# 2024-25 Contributing Actions Table

1. Projected LCFF Base Grant	2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (2 divided by 1)	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (3 + Carryover %)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)	Totals by Type	Total LCFF Funds
97,633	91,066	93.274%	0.000%	93.274%	\$45,000.00	0.000%	46.091 %	<b>Total:</b>	\$45,000.00
								<b>LEA-wide Total:</b>	\$0.00
								<b>Limited Total:</b>	\$45,000.00
								<b>Schoolwide Total:</b>	\$0.00

Goal	Action #	Action Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Scope	Unduplicated Student Group(s)	Location	Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)
1	1.1	Curriculum				Specific Schools: Steindorf STEAM School K-8	\$8,000.00	
1	1.2	Digital subscriptions				All Schools Specific Schools: Steindorf STEAM School	\$8,000.00	
1	1.3	Intervention Tier 1 and 2	Yes	Limited to Unduplicated Student Group(s)	English Learners Low Income	Specific Schools: Steindorf STEAM school K-2	\$45,000.00	
2	2.2	Professional Development				Specific Schools: Steindorf STEAM School	\$12,000.00	

# 2023-24 Annual Update Table

Totals	Last Year's Total Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Total Estimated Expenditures (Total Funds)
<b>Totals</b>	\$179,584.00	\$154,584.00

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
1	1.1	Reader and Writer's Workshop Implementation	No	\$5,000.00	5,000
1	1.2	Intervention Tier 1	No	\$50,000.00	50,000
1	1.3	Administration costs	No	\$40,000.00	40,000
1	1.4	Math curriculum IM1	No	\$3,000.00	0
1	1.5	ASB/Leadership coordinator	No	\$5,000.00	5,000
1	1.6	ALEKS	No	\$0.00	0
1	1.7	Art Program	No	\$0.00	0
1	1.8	Library Software Site Licenses	No	\$500.00	500.00
2	2.1	Release time / professional development opportunities	No	\$10,000.00	10,000
2	2.2	Professional Development	No	\$10,000.00	10,000



Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
2	2.3	Professional Development	No	\$0.00	
2	2.4	Professional Development	Yes	\$0.00	
2	2.5	Professional Development	No	\$0.00	
2	2.6	Teacher Release to Lead PLCs	No	\$6,500.00	
3	3.1	Noon Duty Supervision	No	\$9,500.00	0
3	3.2	Tier 1 Social Emotional Learning	No		
3	3.3	Enrichment Opportunities	No		
3	3.4	After School Sports Program	No	\$15,000.00	15,000
3	3.6	Health Office Supplies	No	\$500.00	500.00
3	3.7	Valley Sports	No	\$5,000.00	0
3	3.8	SWIS/CICO	No	\$584.00	584.00
3	3.9	Project Cornerstone	No	\$1,000.00	1,000
3	3.10	PBIS Support	No	\$15,000.00	15,000

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributed to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures (Total Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures (Input Total Funds)
4	4.1	Steindorf Representation on District Committees	No	\$0.00	0
4	4.2	Home Visits		\$0.00	0
4	4.3	Volunteers	No	\$0.00	0
4	4.4	Parent Education	No	\$2,000.00	2,000
4	4.5	Goal Setting Conferences	No	\$0.00	
4	4.6	Classroom Communication	No	\$0.00	
4	4.7	Parent Outreach	No	\$0.00	
4	4.8	Parent Outreach	No	\$1,000.00	0

# 2023-24 Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

6. Estimated LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (Input Dollar Amount)	4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)	7. Total Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)	5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)	8. Total Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (%)	Difference Between Planned and Estimated Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)
	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	0.000%	0.000%	0.000%

Last Year's Goal #	Last Year's Action #	Prior Action/Service Title	Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?	Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Input LCFF Funds)	Planned Percentage of Improved Services	Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Input Percentage)
2	2.4	Professional Development	Yes	\$0.00			

# 2023-24 LCFF Carryover Table

9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (Input Dollar Amount)	6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants	LCFF Carryover — Percentage (Percentage from Prior Year)	10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 + Carryover %)	7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds)	8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)	11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)	12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)	13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)
			0.000%	\$0.00	0.000%	0.000%	\$0.00	0.000%

# Local Control and Accountability Plan Instructions

[Plan Summary](#)

[Engaging Educational Partners](#)

[Goals and Actions](#)

[Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students](#)

*For additional questions or technical assistance related to the completion of the Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP) template, please contact the local county office of education (COE), or the California Department of Education's (CDE's) Local Agency Systems Support Office, by phone at 916-319-0809 or by email at [LCFF@cde.ca.gov](mailto:LCFF@cde.ca.gov).*

## Introduction and Instructions

The Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) requires local educational agencies (LEAs) to engage their local educational partners in an annual planning process to evaluate their progress within eight state priority areas encompassing all statutory metrics (COEs have 10 state priorities). LEAs document the results of this planning process in the LCAP using the template adopted by the State Board of Education.

The LCAP development process serves three distinct, but related functions:

- **Comprehensive Strategic Planning:** The process of developing and annually updating the LCAP supports comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (California *Education Code* [EC] Section 52064[e][1]). Strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students.
- **Meaningful Engagement of Educational Partners:** The LCAP development process should result in an LCAP that reflects decisions made through meaningful engagement (EC Section 52064[e][1]). Local educational partners possess valuable perspectives and insights about an LEA's programs and services. Effective strategic planning will incorporate these perspectives and insights in order to identify potential goals and actions to be included in the LCAP.
- **Accountability and Compliance:** The LCAP serves an important accountability function because the nature of some LCAP template sections require LEAs to show that they have complied with various requirements specified in the LCFF statutes and regulations, most notably:
  - Demonstrating that LEAs are increasing or improving services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students in proportion to the amount of additional funding those students generate under LCFF (EC Section 52064[b][4-6]).
  - Establishing goals, supported by actions and related expenditures, that address the statutory priority areas and statutory metrics (EC sections 52064[b][1] and [2]).
    - **NOTE:** As specified in EC Section 62064(b)(1), the LCAP must provide a description of the annual goals, for all pupils and each subgroup of pupils identified pursuant to EC Section 52052, to be achieved for each of the state priorities. Beginning in 2023–24, EC

Section 52052 identifies long-term English learners as a separate and distinct pupil subgroup with a numerical significance at 15 students.

- Annually reviewing and updating the LCAP to reflect progress toward the goals (*EC* Section 52064[b][7]).
- Ensuring that all increases attributable to supplemental and concentration grant calculations, including concentration grant add-on funding and/or LCFF carryover, are reflected in the LCAP (*EC* sections 52064[b][6], [8], and [11]).

The LCAP template, like each LEA’s final adopted LCAP, is a document, not a process. LEAs must use the template to memorialize the outcome of their LCAP development process, which must: (a) reflect comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the California School Dashboard (Dashboard), (b) through meaningful engagement with educational partners that (c) meets legal requirements, as reflected in the final adopted LCAP. The sections included within the LCAP template do not and cannot reflect the full development process, just as the LCAP template itself is not intended as a tool for engaging educational partners.

If a county superintendent of schools has jurisdiction over a single school district, the county board of education and the governing board of the school district may adopt and file for review and approval a single LCAP consistent with the requirements in *EC* sections 52060, 52062, 52066, 52068, and 52070. The LCAP must clearly articulate to which entity’s budget (school district or county superintendent of schools) all budgeted and actual expenditures are aligned.

The revised LCAP template for the 2024–25, 2025–26, and 2026–27 school years reflects statutory changes made through Senate Bill 114 (Committee on Budget and Fiscal Review), Chapter 48, Statutes of 2023.

At its most basic, the adopted LCAP should attempt to distill not just what the LEA is doing for students in transitional kindergarten through grade twelve (TK–12), but also allow educational partners to understand why, and whether those strategies are leading to improved opportunities and outcomes for students. LEAs are strongly encouraged to use language and a level of detail in their adopted LCAPs intended to be meaningful and accessible for the LEA’s diverse educational partners and the broader public.

In developing and finalizing the LCAP for adoption, LEAs are encouraged to keep the following overarching frame at the forefront of the strategic planning and educational partner engagement functions:

Given present performance across the state priorities and on indicators in the Dashboard, how is the LEA using its budgetary resources to respond to TK–12 student and community needs, and address any performance gaps, including by meeting its obligation to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, and low-income students?

LEAs are encouraged to focus on a set of metrics and actions which, based on research, experience, and input gathered from educational partners, the LEA believes will have the biggest impact on behalf of its TK–12 students.

These instructions address the requirements for each section of the LCAP, but may include information about effective practices when developing the LCAP and completing the LCAP document. Additionally, the beginning of each template section includes information emphasizing the purpose that section serves.

# Plan Summary

## Purpose

A well-developed Plan Summary section provides a meaningful context for the LCAP. This section provides information about an LEA’s community as well as relevant information about student needs and performance. In order to present a meaningful context for the rest of the LCAP, the content of this section should be clearly and meaningfully related to the content included throughout each subsequent section of the LCAP.

## Requirements and Instructions

### General Information

A description of the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades transitional kindergarten–12, as applicable to the LEA.

Briefly describe the LEA, its schools, and its students in grades TK–12, as applicable to the LEA.

- For example, information about an LEA in terms of geography, enrollment, employment, the number and size of specific schools, recent community challenges, and other such information the LEA may wish to include can enable a reader to more fully understand the LEA’s LCAP.
- As part of this response, identify all schools within the LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funding.

### Reflections: Annual Performance

A reflection on annual performance based on a review of the California School Dashboard (Dashboard) and local data.

Reflect on the LEA’s annual performance on the Dashboard and local data. This may include both successes and challenges identified by the LEA during the development process.

LEAs are encouraged to highlight how they are addressing the identified needs of student groups, and/or schools within the LCAP as part of this response.

As part of this response, the LEA must identify the following, which will remain unchanged during the three-year LCAP cycle:

- Any school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard;
- Any student group within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard; and/or
- Any student group within a school within the LEA that received the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the 2023 Dashboard.

### Reflections: Technical Assistance

As applicable, a summary of the work underway as part of technical assistance.

Annually identify the reason(s) the LEA is eligible for or has requested technical assistance consistent with *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, and provide a summary of the work underway as part of receiving technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance, however this also includes LEAs that have requested technical assistance from their COE.

- If the LEA is not eligible for or receiving technical assistance, the LEA may respond to this prompt as “Not Applicable.”

**Comprehensive Support and Improvement**

An LEA with a school or schools identified for comprehensive support and improvement (CSI) under the Every Student Succeeds Act must respond to the following prompts:

*Schools Identified*

A list of the schools in the LEA that are eligible for comprehensive support and improvement.

- Identify the schools within the LEA that have been identified for CSI.

*Support for Identified Schools*

A description of how the LEA has or will support its eligible schools in developing comprehensive support and improvement plans.

- Describe how the LEA has or will support the identified schools in developing CSI plans that included a school-level needs assessment, evidence-based interventions, and the identification of any resource inequities to be addressed through the implementation of the CSI plan.

*Monitoring and Evaluating Effectiveness*

A description of how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the plan to support student and school improvement.

- Describe how the LEA will monitor and evaluate the implementation and effectiveness of the CSI plan to support student and school improvement.

**Engaging Educational Partners**

**Purpose**

Significant and purposeful engagement of parents, students, educators, and other educational partners, including those representing the student groups identified by LCFF, is critical to the development of the LCAP and the budget process. Consistent with statute, such engagement should support comprehensive strategic planning, particularly to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard, accountability, and improvement across the state priorities and locally identified priorities (*EC* Section 52064[e][1]). Engagement of educational partners is an ongoing, annual process.

This section is designed to reflect how the engagement of educational partners influenced the decisions reflected in the adopted LCAP. The goal is to allow educational partners that participated in the LCAP development process and the broader public to understand how the LEA engaged educational partners and the impact of that engagement. LEAs are encouraged to keep this goal in the forefront when completing this section.



# Requirements

**School districts and COEs:** *EC* sections [52060\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) and [52066\(g\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) specify the educational partners that must be consulted when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Local bargaining units of the LEA,
- Parents, and
- Students

A school district or COE receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

Before adopting the LCAP, school districts and COEs must share it with the applicable committees, as identified below under Requirements and Instructions. The superintendent is required by statute to respond in writing to the comments received from these committees. School districts and COEs must also consult with the special education local plan area administrator(s) when developing the LCAP.

**Charter schools:** *EC* Section [47606.5\(d\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that the following educational partners be consulted with when developing the LCAP:

- Teachers,
- Principals,
- Administrators,
- Other school personnel,
- Parents, and
- Students

A charter school receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at the school generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for the school.

The LCAP should also be shared with, and LEAs should request input from, schoolsite-level advisory groups, as applicable (e.g., schoolsite councils, English Learner Advisory Councils, student advisory groups, etc.), to facilitate alignment between schoolsite and district-level goals. Information and resources that support effective engagement, define student consultation, and provide the requirements for advisory group composition, can be found under Resources on the [CDE's LCAP webpage](#).

Before the governing board/body of an LEA considers the adoption of the LCAP, the LEA must meet the following legal requirements:

- For school districts, see [Education Code Section 52062 \(California Legislative Information\)](#);

- **Note:** Charter schools using the LCAP as the School Plan for Student Achievement must meet the requirements of *EC* Section 52062(a).
- For COEs, see [Education Code Section 52068 \(California Legislative Information\)](#); and
- For charter schools, see [Education Code Section 47606.5 \(California Legislative Information\)](#).
- **NOTE:** As a reminder, the superintendent of a school district or COE must respond, in writing, to comments received by the applicable committees identified in the *Education Code* sections listed above. This includes the parent advisory committee and may include the English learner parent advisory committee and, as of July 1, 2024, the student advisory committee, as applicable.

## Instructions

### Respond to the prompts as follows:

A summary of the process used to engage educational partners in the development of the LCAP.

School districts and county offices of education must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, local bargaining units, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

Charter schools must, at a minimum, consult with teachers, principals, administrators, other school personnel, parents, and students in the development of the LCAP.

An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also consult with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

### Complete the table as follows:

#### Educational Partners

Identify the applicable educational partner(s) or group(s) that were engaged in the development of the LCAP.

#### Process for Engagement

Describe the engagement process used by the LEA to involve the identified educational partner(s) in the development of the LCAP. At a minimum, the LEA must describe how it met its obligation to consult with all statutorily required educational partners, as applicable to the type of LEA.

- A sufficient response to this prompt must include general information about the timeline of the process and meetings or other engagement strategies with educational partners. A response may also include information about an LEA's philosophical approach to engaging its educational partners.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must also include a summary of how it consulted with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds in the development of the LCAP, specifically, in the development of the required focus goal for each applicable school.

A description of how the adopted LCAP was influenced by the feedback provided by educational partners.

Describe any goals, metrics, actions, or budgeted expenditures in the LCAP that were influenced by or developed in response to the educational partner feedback.

- A sufficient response to this prompt will provide educational partners and the public with clear, specific information about how the engagement process influenced the development of the LCAP. This may include a description of how the LEA prioritized requests of educational partners within the context of the budgetary resources available or otherwise prioritized areas of focus within the LCAP.
- An LEA receiving Equity Multiplier funds must include a description of how the consultation with educational partners at schools generating Equity Multiplier funds influenced the development of the adopted LCAP.
- For the purposes of this prompt, this may also include, but is not necessarily limited to:
  - Inclusion of a goal or decision to pursue a Focus Goal (as described below)
  - Inclusion of metrics other than the statutorily required metrics
  - Determination of the target outcome on one or more metrics
  - Inclusion of performance by one or more student groups in the Measuring and Reporting Results subsection
  - Inclusion of action(s) or a group of actions
  - Elimination of action(s) or group of actions
  - Changes to the level of proposed expenditures for one or more actions
  - Inclusion of action(s) as contributing to increased or improved services for unduplicated students
  - Analysis of effectiveness of the specific actions to achieve the goal
  - Analysis of material differences in expenditures
  - Analysis of changes made to a goal for the ensuing LCAP year based on the annual update process
  - Analysis of challenges or successes in the implementation of actions

## Goals and Actions

### Purpose

Well-developed goals will clearly communicate to educational partners what the LEA plans to accomplish, what the LEA plans to do in order to accomplish the goal, and how the LEA will know when it has accomplished the goal. A goal statement, associated metrics and expected outcomes, and the actions included in the goal must be in alignment. The explanation for why the LEA included a goal is an opportunity for LEAs to clearly communicate to educational partners and the public why, among the various strengths and areas for improvement highlighted by performance data and strategies and actions that could be pursued, the LEA decided to pursue this goal, and the related metrics, expected outcomes, actions, and expenditures.

A well-developed goal can be focused on the performance relative to a metric or metrics for all students, a specific student group(s), narrowing performance gaps, or implementing programs or strategies expected to impact outcomes. LEAs should assess the performance of their student groups when developing goals and the related actions to achieve such goals.

# Requirements and Instructions

LEAs should prioritize the goals, specific actions, and related expenditures included within the LCAP within one or more state priorities. LEAs must consider performance on the state and local indicators, including their locally collected and reported data for the local indicators that are included in the Dashboard, in determining whether and how to prioritize its goals within the LCAP. As previously stated, strategic planning that is comprehensive connects budgetary decisions to teaching and learning performance data. LEAs should continually evaluate the hard choices they make about the use of limited resources to meet student and community needs to ensure opportunities and outcomes are improved for all students, and to address and reduce disparities in opportunities and outcomes between student groups indicated by the Dashboard.

In order to support prioritization of goals, the LCAP template provides LEAs with the option of developing three different kinds of goals:

- Focus Goal: A Focus Goal is relatively more concentrated in scope and may focus on a fewer number of metrics to measure improvement. A Focus Goal statement will be time bound and make clear how the goal is to be measured.
  - All Equity Multiplier goals must be developed as focus goals. For additional information, see Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding below.
- Broad Goal: A Broad Goal is relatively less concentrated in its scope and may focus on improving performance across a wide range of metrics.
- Maintenance of Progress Goal: A Maintenance of Progress Goal includes actions that may be ongoing without significant changes and allows an LEA to track performance on any metrics not addressed in the other goals of the LCAP.

## Requirement to Address the LCFF State Priorities

At a minimum, the LCAP must address all LCFF priorities and associated metrics articulated in *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d), as applicable to the LEA. The [LCFF State Priorities Summary](#) provides a summary of *EC* sections 52060(d) and 52066(d) to aid in the development of the LCAP.

Respond to the following prompts, as applicable:

### Focus Goal(s)

Description

The description provided for a Focus Goal must be specific, measurable, and time bound.

- An LEA develops a Focus Goal to address areas of need that may require or benefit from a more specific and data intensive approach.
- The Focus Goal can explicitly reference the metric(s) by which achievement of the goal will be measured and the time frame according to which the LEA expects to achieve the goal.

Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.

**Required Focus Goal(s) for LEAs Receiving Equity Multiplier Funding**

**Description**

LEAs receiving Equity Multiplier funding must include one or more focus goals for each school generating Equity Multiplier funding. In addition to addressing the focus goal requirements described above, LEAs must adhere to the following requirements.

Focus goals for Equity Multiplier schoolsites must address the following:

- (A) All student groups that have the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard, and
- (B) Any underlying issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.
- Focus Goals for each and every Equity Multiplier schoolsite must identify specific metrics for each identified student group, as applicable.
- An LEA may create a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites if those schoolsites have the same student group(s) performing at the lowest performance level on one or more state indicators on the Dashboard or, experience similar issues in the credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators.
  - When creating a single goal for multiple Equity Multiplier schoolsites, the goal must identify the student groups and the performance levels on the Dashboard that the Focus Goal is addressing; or,
  - The common issues the schoolsites are experiencing in credentialing, subject matter preparation, and retention of the school’s educators, if applicable.

**Type of Goal**

Identify the type of goal being implemented as an Equity Multiplier Focus Goal.

State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA has chosen to prioritize this goal.

- An explanation must be based on Dashboard data or other locally collected data.
- LEAs must describe how the LEA identified this goal for focused attention, including relevant consultation with educational partners.
- LEAs are encouraged to promote transparency and understanding around the decision to pursue a focus goal.
- In addition to this information, the LEA must also identify:
  - The school or schools to which the goal applies

LEAs are encouraged to approach an Equity Multiplier goal from a wholistic standpoint, considering how the goal might maximize student outcomes through the use of LCFF and other funding in addition to Equity Multiplier funds.

- Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the Expanded Learning Opportunities Program (ELO-P), the Literacy Coaches and Reading Specialists (LCRS) Grant Program, and/or the California Community Schools Partnership Program (CCSPP).
- This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.

**Note:** EC Section [42238.024\(b\)\(1\) \(California Legislative Information\)](#) requires that Equity Multiplier funds be used for the provision of evidence-based services and supports for students. Evidence-based services and supports are based on objective evidence that has informed the design of the service or support and/or guides the modification of those services and supports. Evidence-based supports and strategies are most commonly based on educational research and/or metrics of LEA, school, and/or student performance.

**Broad Goal**

Description

Describe what the LEA plans to achieve through the actions included in the goal.

- The description of a broad goal will be clearly aligned with the expected measurable outcomes included for the goal.

- The goal description organizes the actions and expected outcomes in a cohesive and consistent manner.
- A goal description is specific enough to be measurable in either quantitative or qualitative terms. A broad goal is not as specific as a focus goal. While it is specific enough to be measurable, there are many different metrics for measuring progress toward the goal.

### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Broad Goal.

### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain why the LEA developed this goal and how the actions and metrics grouped together will help achieve the goal.

## **Maintenance of Progress Goal**

### Description

Describe how the LEA intends to maintain the progress made in the LCFF State Priorities not addressed by the other goals in the LCAP.

- Use this type of goal to address the state priorities and applicable metrics not addressed within the other goals in the LCAP.
- The state priorities and metrics to be addressed in this section are those for which the LEA, in consultation with educational partners, has determined to maintain actions and monitor progress while focusing implementation efforts on the actions covered by other goals in the LCAP.

### Type of Goal

Identify the type of goal being implemented as a Maintenance of Progress Goal.

### State Priorities addressed by this goal.

Identify each of the state priorities that this goal is intended to address.

### An explanation of why the LEA has developed this goal.

Explain how the actions will sustain the progress exemplified by the related metrics.

## **Measuring and Reporting Results:**

For each LCAP year, identify the metric(s) that the LEA will use to track progress toward the expected outcomes.

- LEAs must identify metrics for specific student groups, as appropriate, including expected outcomes that address and reduce disparities in outcomes between student groups.
- The metrics may be quantitative or qualitative; but at minimum, an LEA’s LCAP must include goals that are measured using all of the applicable metrics for the related state priorities, in each LCAP year, as applicable to the type of LEA.
- To the extent a state priority does not specify one or more metrics (e.g., implementation of state academic content and performance standards), the LEA must identify a metric to use within the LCAP. For these state priorities, LEAs are encouraged to use metrics based on or reported through the relevant local indicator self-reflection tools within the Dashboard.
- **Required metrics for LEA-wide actions:** For each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section, however the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.
- **Required metrics for Equity Multiplier goals:** For each Equity Multiplier goal, the LEA must identify:
  - The specific metrics for each identified student group at each specific schoolsite, as applicable, to measure the progress toward the goal, and/or
  - The specific metrics used to measure progress in meeting the goal related to credentialing, subject matter preparation, or educator retention at each specific schoolsite.

Complete the table as follows:

Metric #
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enter the metric number.</li> </ul>
Metric
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Identify the standard of measure being used to determine progress towards the goal and/or to measure the effectiveness of one or more actions associated with the goal.</li> </ul>
Baseline
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enter the baseline when completing the LCAP for 2024–25.</li> </ul>



- Use the most recent data associated with the metric available at the time of adoption of the LCAP for the first year of the three-year plan. LEAs may use data as reported on the 2023 Dashboard for the baseline of a metric only if that data represents the most recent available data (e.g., high school graduation rate).
- Using the most recent data available may involve reviewing data the LEA is preparing for submission to the California Longitudinal Pupil Achievement Data System (CALPADS) or data that the LEA has recently submitted to CALPADS.
- Indicate the school year to which the baseline data applies.
- The baseline data must remain unchanged throughout the three-year LCAP.
  - This requirement is not intended to prevent LEAs from revising the baseline data if it is necessary to do so. For example, if an LEA identifies that its data collection practices for a particular metric are leading to inaccurate data and revises its practice to obtain accurate data, it would also be appropriate for the LEA to revise the baseline data to align with the more accurate data process and report its results using the accurate data.
  - If an LEA chooses to revise its baseline data, then, at a minimum, it must clearly identify the change as part of its response to the description of changes prompt in the Goal Analysis for the goal. LEAs are also strongly encouraged to involve their educational partners in the decision of whether or not to revise a baseline and to communicate the proposed change to their educational partners.
- Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a new baseline each year, as applicable.

### Year 1 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may provide the Year 1 Outcome when completing the LCAP for both 2025–26 and 2026–27 or may provide the Year 1 Outcome for 2025–26 and provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

### Year 2 Outcome

- When completing the LCAP for 2026–27, enter the most recent data available. Indicate the school year to which the data applies.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one-year LCAP may identify the Year 2 Outcome as not applicable when completing the LCAP for 2026–27 or may provide the Year 2 Outcome for 2026–27.

### Target for Year 3 Outcome

- When completing the first year of the LCAP, enter the target outcome for the relevant metric the LEA expects to achieve by the end of the three-year LCAP cycle.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP may identify a Target for Year 1 or Target for Year 2, as applicable.

**Current Difference from Baseline**

- When completing the LCAP for 2025–26 and 2026–27, enter the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome, as applicable.
  - Note for Charter Schools: Charter schools developing a one- or two-year LCAP will identify the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 1 and/or the current difference between the baseline and the yearly outcome for Year 2, as applicable.

Timeline for school districts and COEs for completing the “**Measuring and Reporting Results**” part of the Goal.

Metric	Baseline	Year 1 Outcome	Year 2 Outcome	Target for Year 3 Outcome	Current Difference from Baseline
Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2025–26</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2026–27</b> . Leave blank until then.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2024–25</b> or when adding a new metric.	Enter information in this box when completing the LCAP for <b>2025–26</b> and <b>2026–27</b> . Leave blank until then.

**Goal Analysis:**

Enter the LCAP Year.

Using actual annual measurable outcome data, including data from the Dashboard, analyze whether the planned actions were effective towards achieving the goal. “Effective” means the degree to which the planned actions were successful in producing the target result. Respond to the prompts as instructed.

**Note:** When completing the 2024–25 LCAP, use the 2023–24 Local Control and Accountability Plan Annual Update template to complete the Goal Analysis and identify the Goal Analysis prompts in the 2024–25 LCAP as “Not Applicable.”

**A description of overall implementation, including any substantive differences in planned actions and actual implementation of these actions, and any relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.**

- Describe the overall implementation of the actions to achieve the articulated goal, including relevant challenges and successes experienced with implementation.
  - Include a discussion of relevant challenges and successes experienced with the implementation process.
  - This discussion must include any instance where the LEA did not implement a planned action or implemented a planned action in a manner that differs substantively from how it was described in the adopted LCAP.

An explanation of material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and/or Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services.

- Explain material differences between Budgeted Expenditures and Estimated Actual Expenditures and between the Planned Percentages of Improved Services and Estimated Actual Percentages of Improved Services, as applicable. Minor variances in expenditures or percentages do not need to be addressed, and a dollar-for-dollar accounting is not required.

A description of the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal.

- Describe the effectiveness or ineffectiveness of the specific actions to date in making progress toward the goal. “Effectiveness” means the degree to which the actions were successful in producing the target result and “ineffectiveness” means that the actions did not produce any significant or targeted result.
  - In some cases, not all actions in a goal will be intended to improve performance on all of the metrics associated with the goal.
  - When responding to this prompt, LEAs may assess the effectiveness of a single action or group of actions within the goal in the context of performance on a single metric or group of specific metrics within the goal that are applicable to the action(s). Grouping actions with metrics will allow for more robust analysis of whether the strategy the LEA is using to impact a specified set of metrics is working and increase transparency for educational partners. LEAs are encouraged to use such an approach when goals include multiple actions and metrics that are not closely associated.
  - Beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period.

A description of any changes made to the planned goal, metrics, target outcomes, or actions for the coming year that resulted from reflections on prior practice.

- Describe any changes made to this goal, expected outcomes, metrics, or actions to achieve this goal as a result of this analysis and analysis of the data provided in the Dashboard or other local data, as applicable.
  - As noted above, beginning with the development of the 2024–25 LCAP, the LEA must change actions that have not proven effective over a three-year period. For actions that have been identified as ineffective, the LEA must identify the ineffective action and must include a description of the following:
    - The reasons for the ineffectiveness, and
    - How changes to the action will result in a new or strengthened approach.

**Actions:**

Complete the table as follows. Add additional rows as necessary.

Action #

- Enter the action number.

## Title

- Provide a short title for the action. This title will also appear in the action tables.

## Description

- Provide a brief description of the action.
  - For actions that contribute to meeting the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA may include an explanation of how each action is principally directed towards and effective in meeting the LEA's goals for unduplicated students, as described in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.
  - As previously noted, for each action identified as 1) contributing towards the requirement to increase or improve services for foster youth, English learners, including long-term English learners, and low-income students and 2) being provided on an LEA-wide basis, the LEA must identify one or more metrics to monitor the effectiveness of the action and its budgeted expenditures.
  - These required metrics may be identified within the action description or the first prompt in the increased or improved services section; however, the description must clearly identify the metric(s) being used to monitor the effectiveness of the action and the action(s) that the metric(s) apply to.

## Total Funds

- Enter the total amount of expenditures associated with this action. Budgeted expenditures from specific fund sources will be provided in the action tables.

## Contributing

- Indicate whether the action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement as described in the Increased or Improved Services section using a “Y” for Yes or an “N” for No.
  - **Note:** for each such contributing action, the LEA will need to provide additional information in the Increased or Improved Services section to address the requirements in *California Code of Regulations*, Title 5 [5 CCR] Section 15496 in the Increased or Improved Services section of the LCAP.

**Actions for Foster Youth:** School districts, COEs, and charter schools that have a numerically significant foster youth student subgroup are encouraged to include specific actions in the LCAP designed to meet needs specific to foster youth students.

## Required Actions

- LEAs with 30 or more English learners and/or 15 or more long-term English learners must include specific actions in the LCAP related to, at a minimum:
  - Language acquisition programs, as defined in *EC* Section 306, provided to students, and

- Professional development for teachers.
- If an LEA has both 30 or more English learners and 15 or more long-term English learners, the LEA must include actions for both English learners and long-term English learners.
- LEAs eligible for technical assistance pursuant to *EC* sections 47607.3, 52071, 52071.5, 52072, or 52072.5, must include specific actions within the LCAP related to its implementation of the work underway as part of technical assistance. The most common form of this technical assistance is frequently referred to as Differentiated Assistance.
- LEAs that have Red Dashboard indicators for (1) a school within the LEA, (2) a student group within the LEA, and/or (3) a student group within any school within the LEA must include one or more specific actions within the LCAP:
  - The specific action(s) must be directed towards the identified student group(s) and/or school(s) and must address the identified state indicator(s) for which the student group or school received the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard. Each student group and/or school that receives the lowest performance level on the 2023 Dashboard must be addressed by one or more actions.
  - These required actions will be effective for the three-year LCAP cycle.

## **Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students**

### **Purpose**

A well-written Increased or Improved Services section provides educational partners with a comprehensive description, within a single dedicated section, of how an LEA plans to increase or improve services for its unduplicated students as defined in *EC* Section 42238.02 in grades TK–12 as compared to all students in grades TK–12, as applicable, and how LEA-wide or schoolwide actions identified for this purpose meet regulatory requirements. Descriptions provided should include sufficient detail yet be sufficiently succinct to promote a broader understanding of educational partners to facilitate their ability to provide input. An LEA’s description in this section must align with the actions included in the Goals and Actions section as contributing.

Please Note: For the purpose of meeting the Increased or Improved Services requirement and consistent with *EC* Section 42238.02, long-term English learners are included in the English learner student group.

### **Statutory Requirements**

An LEA is required to demonstrate in its LCAP how it is increasing or improving services for its students who are foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income, collectively referred to as unduplicated students, as compared to the services provided to all students in proportion to the increase in funding it receives based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the LEA (*EC* Section 42238.07[a][1], *EC* Section 52064[b][8][B]; 5 *CCR* Section 15496[a]). This proportionality percentage is also known as the “minimum proportionality percentage” or “MPP.” The manner in which an LEA demonstrates it is meeting its MPP is two-fold: (1) through the expenditure of LCFF funds or through the identification of a Planned Percentage of Improved Services as documented in the Contributing Actions Table, and (2) through the explanations provided in the Increased or Improved Services for Foster Youth, English Learners, and Low-Income Students section.

To improve services means to grow services in quality and to increase services means to grow services in quantity. Services are increased or improved by those actions in the LCAP that are identified in the Goals and Actions section as contributing to the increased or improved services requirement, whether they are provided across the entire LEA (LEA-wide action), provided to an entire school (Schoolwide action), or solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s) (Limited action).

Therefore, for *any* action contributing to meet the increased or improved services requirement, the LEA must include an explanation of:

- How the action is increasing or improving services for the unduplicated student group(s) (Identified Needs and Action Design), and
- How the action meets the LEA's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas (Measurement of Effectiveness).

**LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions**

In addition to the above required explanations, LEAs must provide a justification for why an LEA-wide or Schoolwide action is being provided to all students and how the action is intended to improve outcomes for unduplicated student group(s) as compared to all students.

- Conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

**For School Districts Only**

Actions provided on an **LEA-wide** basis at **school districts with an unduplicated pupil percentage of less than 55 percent** must also include a description of how the actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

Actions provided on a **Schoolwide** basis for **schools with less than 40 percent enrollment of unduplicated pupils** must also include a description of how these actions are the most effective use of the funds to meet the district's goals for its unduplicated pupils in the state and any local priority areas. The description must provide the basis for this determination, including any alternatives considered, supporting research, experience, or educational theory.

**Requirements and Instructions**

Complete the tables as follows:

**Total Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants**

- Specify the amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grant funds the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year based on the number and concentration of foster youth, English learner, and low-income students. This amount includes the Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant.

**Projected Additional 15 percent LCFF Concentration Grant**

- Specify the amount of additional LCFF concentration grant add-on funding, as described in *EC* Section 42238.02, that the LEA estimates it will receive in the coming year.

**Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year**

- Specify the estimated percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

**LCFF Carryover — Percentage**

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).

**LCFF Carryover — Dollar**

- Specify the LCFF Carryover — Dollar amount identified in the LCFF Carryover Table. If a carryover amount is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify an amount of zero (\$0).

**Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year**

- Add the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the Proportional LCFF Required Carryover Percentage and specify the percentage. This is the LEA's percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the LCAP year, as calculated pursuant to 5 *CCR* Section 15496(a)(7).

**Required Descriptions:**

**LEA-wide and Schoolwide Actions**

For each action being provided to an entire LEA or school, provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s) and why it is being provided on an LEA or schoolwide basis, and (3) the metric(s) used to measure the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s).

If the LEA has provided this required description in the Action Descriptions, state as such within the table.

Complete the table as follows:

**Identified Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of the unique identified need(s) of the LEA's unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed.

An LEA demonstrates how an action is principally directed towards an unduplicated student group(s) when the LEA explains the need(s), condition(s), or circumstance(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) identified through a needs assessment and how the action addresses them. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

**How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s) and Why it is Provided on an LEA-wide or Schoolwide Basis**

Provide an explanation of how the action as designed will address the unique identified need(s) of the LEA’s unduplicated student group(s) for whom the action is principally directed and the rationale for why the action is being provided on an LEA-wide or schoolwide basis.

- As stated above, conclusory statements that a service will help achieve an expected outcome for the goal, without an explicit connection or further explanation as to how, are not sufficient.
- Further, simply stating that an LEA has a high enrollment percentage of a specific student group or groups does not meet the increased or improved services standard because enrolling students is not the same as serving students.

**Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness**

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

**Note for COEs and Charter Schools:** In the case of COEs and charter schools, schoolwide and LEA-wide are considered to be synonymous.

**Limited Actions**

For each action being solely provided to one or more unduplicated student group(s), provide an explanation of (1) the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served, (2) how the action is designed to address the identified need(s), and (3) how the effectiveness of the action in improving outcomes for the unduplicated student group(s) will be measured.

If the LEA has provided the required descriptions in the Action Descriptions, state as such.

Complete the table as follows:

**Identified Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of the unique need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served identified through the LEA’s needs assessment. A meaningful needs assessment includes, at a minimum, analysis of applicable student achievement data and educational partner feedback.

**How the Action(s) are Designed to Address Need(s)**

Provide an explanation of how the action is designed to address the unique identified need(s) of the unduplicated student group(s) being served.



## Metric(s) to Monitor Effectiveness

Identify the metric(s) being used to measure the progress and effectiveness of the action(s).

For any limited action contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement that is associated with a Planned Percentage of Improved Services in the Contributing Summary Table rather than an expenditure of LCFF funds, describe the methodology that was used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage, as applicable.

- For each action with an identified Planned Percentage of Improved Services, identify the goal and action number and describe the methodology that was used.
- When identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.
- For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA's current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Total Planned Expenditures Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## Additional Concentration Grant Funding

A description of the plan for how the additional concentration grant add-on funding identified above will be used to increase the number of staff providing direct services to students at schools that have a high concentration (above 55 percent) of foster youth, English learners, and low-income students, as applicable.

An LEA that receives the additional concentration grant add-on described in *EC* Section 42238.02 is required to demonstrate how it is using these funds to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent as compared to the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is equal to or less than 55 percent. The staff who provide direct services to students must be certificated staff and/or classified staff employed by the LEA; classified staff includes custodial staff.

Provide the following descriptions, as applicable to the LEA:

- An LEA that does not receive a concentration grant or the concentration grant add-on must indicate that a response to this prompt is not applicable.
- Identify the goal and action numbers of the actions in the LCAP that the LEA is implementing to meet the requirement to increase the number of staff who provide direct services to students at schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

- An LEA that does not have comparison schools from which to describe how it is using the concentration grant add-on funds, such as a single-school LEA or an LEA that only has schools with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, must describe how it is using the funds to increase the number of credentialed staff, classified staff, or both, including custodial staff, who provide direct services to students at selected schools and the criteria used to determine which schools require additional staffing support.
- In the event that an additional concentration grant add-on is not sufficient to increase staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, the LEA must describe how it is using the funds to retain staff providing direct services to students at a school with an enrollment of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent.

Complete the table as follows:

- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of classified staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
  - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.
- Provide the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is 55 percent or less and the staff-to-student ratio of certificated staff providing direct services to students at schools with a concentration of unduplicated students that is greater than 55 percent, as applicable to the LEA.
  - The LEA may group its schools by grade span (Elementary, Middle/Junior High, and High Schools), as applicable to the LEA.
  - The staff-to-student ratio must be based on the number of FTE staff and the number of enrolled students as counted on the first Wednesday in October of each year.

## Action Tables

Complete the Total Planned Expenditures Table for each action in the LCAP. The information entered into this table will automatically populate the other Action Tables. Information is only entered into the Total Planned Expenditures Table, the Annual Update Table, the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, and the LCFF Carryover Table. The word “input” has been added to column headers to aid in identifying the column(s) where information will be entered. Information is not entered on the remaining Action tables.

The following tables are required to be included as part of the LCAP adopted by the local governing board or governing body:

- Table 1: Total Planned Expenditures Table (for the coming LCAP Year)
- Table 2: Contributing Actions Table (for the coming LCAP Year)

- Table 3: Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 4: Contributing Actions Annual Update Table (for the current LCAP Year)
- Table 5: LCFF Carryover Table (for the current LCAP Year)

Note: The coming LCAP Year is the year that is being planned for, while the current LCAP year is the current year of implementation. For example, when developing the 2024–25 LCAP, 2024–25 will be the coming LCAP Year and 2023–24 will be the current LCAP Year.

## Total Planned Expenditures Table

In the Total Planned Expenditures Table, input the following information for each action in the LCAP for that applicable LCAP year:

- **LCAP Year:** Identify the applicable LCAP Year.
- **1. Projected LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount estimated LCFF entitlement for the coming school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs.  
  
See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.
- **2. Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated on the basis of the number and concentration of unduplicated students for the coming school year.
- **3. Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected LCFF Base Grant and the Projected LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.
- **LCFF Carryover — Percentage:** Specify the LCFF Carryover — Percentage identified in the LCFF Carryover Table from the prior LCAP year. If a carryover percentage is not identified in the LCFF Carryover Table, specify a percentage of zero (0.00%).
- **Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year:** This percentage will not be entered; it is calculated based on the Projected Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Coming School Year and the LCFF Carryover — Percentage. ***This is the percentage by which the LEA must increase or improve services for unduplicated pupils as compared to the services provided to all students in the coming LCAP year.***

- **Goal #:** Enter the LCAP Goal number for the action.
- **Action #:** Enter the action’s number as indicated in the LCAP Goal.
- **Action Title:** Provide a title of the action.
- **Student Group(s):** Indicate the student group or groups who will be the primary beneficiary of the action by entering “All,” or by entering a specific student group or groups.
- **Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?:** Type “Yes” if the action **is** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement; OR, type “No” if the action is **not** included as contributing to meeting the increased or improved services requirement.
- If “Yes” is entered into the Contributing column, then complete the following columns:
  - **Scope:** The scope of an action may be LEA-wide (i.e., districtwide, countywide, or charterwide), schoolwide, or limited. An action that is LEA-wide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of the LEA. An action that is schoolwide in scope upgrades the entire educational program of a single school. An action that is limited in its scope is an action that serves only one or more unduplicated student groups.
  - **Unduplicated Student Group(s):** Regardless of scope, contributing actions serve one or more unduplicated student groups. Indicate one or more unduplicated student groups for whom services are being increased or improved as compared to what all students receive.
  - **Location:** Identify the location where the action will be provided. If the action is provided to all schools within the LEA, the LEA must indicate “All Schools.” If the action is provided to specific schools within the LEA or specific grade spans only, the LEA must enter “Specific Schools” or “Specific Grade Spans.” Identify the individual school or a subset of schools or grade spans (e.g., all high schools or grades transitional kindergarten through grade five), as appropriate.
- **Time Span:** Enter “ongoing” if the action will be implemented for an indeterminate period of time. Otherwise, indicate the span of time for which the action will be implemented. For example, an LEA might enter “1 Year,” or “2 Years,” or “6 Months.”
- **Total Personnel:** Enter the total amount of personnel expenditures utilized to implement this action.
- **Total Non-Personnel:** This amount will be automatically calculated based on information provided in the Total Personnel column and the Total Funds column.
- **LCFF Funds:** Enter the total amount of LCFF funds utilized to implement this action, if any. LCFF funds include all funds that make up an LEA’s total LCFF target (i.e., base grant, grade span adjustment, supplemental grant, concentration grant, Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant, and Home-To-School Transportation).

- **Note:** For an action to contribute towards meeting the increased or improved services requirement, it must include some measure of LCFF funding. The action may also include funding from other sources, however the extent to which an action contributes to meeting the increased or improved services requirement is based on the LCFF funding being used to implement the action.
- **Other State Funds:** Enter the total amount of Other State Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
  - **Note:** Equity Multiplier funds must be included in the “Other State Funds” category, not in the “LCFF Funds” category. As a reminder, Equity Multiplier funds must be used to supplement, not supplant, funding provided to Equity Multiplier schoolsites for purposes of the LCFF, the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP. This means that Equity Multiplier funds must not be used to replace funding that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement LEA-wide actions identified in the LEA’s LCAP or that an Equity Multiplier schoolsite would otherwise receive to implement provisions of the ELO-P, the LCRS, and/or the CCSPP.
- **Local Funds:** Enter the total amount of Local Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Federal Funds:** Enter the total amount of Federal Funds utilized to implement this action, if any.
- **Total Funds:** This amount is automatically calculated based on amounts entered in the previous four columns.
- **Planned Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the planned quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%). A limited action is an action that only serves foster youth, English learners, and/or low-income students.
  - As noted in the instructions for the Increased or Improved Services section, when identifying a Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA must describe the methodology that it used to determine the contribution of the action towards the proportional percentage. The percentage of improved services for an action corresponds to the amount of LCFF funding that the LEA estimates it would expend to implement the action if it were funded.

For example, an LEA determines that there is a need to analyze data to ensure that instructional aides and expanded learning providers know what targeted supports to provide to students who are foster youth. The LEA could implement this action by hiring additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students, which, based on the LEA’s current pay scale, the LEA estimates would cost \$165,000. Instead, the LEA chooses to utilize a portion of existing staff time to analyze data relating to students who are foster youth. This analysis will then be shared with site principals who will use the data to coordinate services provided by instructional assistants and expanded learning providers to target support to students. In this example, the LEA would divide the estimated cost of \$165,000 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Planned Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## Contributing Actions Table

As noted above, information will not be entered in the Contributing Actions Table; however, the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column will need to be checked to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses.

## Annual Update Table

In the Annual Update Table, provide the following information for each action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **Estimated Actual Expenditures:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditures to implement this action, if any.

## Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

In the Contributing Actions Annual Update Table, check the ‘Contributing to Increased or Improved Services?’ column to ensure that only actions with a “Yes” are displaying. If actions with a “No” are displayed or if actions that are contributing are not displaying in the column, use the drop-down menu in the column header to filter only the “Yes” responses. Provide the following information for each contributing action in the LCAP for the relevant LCAP year:

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants:** Provide the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants estimated based on the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.
- **Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions:** Enter the total estimated actual expenditure of LCFF funds used to implement this action, if any.
- **Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services:** For any action identified as contributing, being provided on a Limited basis only to unduplicated students, and that does not have funding associated with the action, enter the total estimated actual quality improvement anticipated for the action as a percentage rounded to the nearest hundredth (0.00%).
  - Building on the example provided above for calculating the Planned Percentage of Improved Services, the LEA in the example implements the action. As part of the annual update process, the LEA reviews implementation and student outcome data and determines that the action was implemented with fidelity and that outcomes for foster youth students improved. The LEA reviews the original estimated cost for the action and determines that had it hired additional staff to collect and analyze data and to coordinate supports for students that estimated actual cost would have been \$169,500 due to a cost of living adjustment. The LEA would divide the estimated actual cost of \$169,500 by the amount of LCFF Funding identified in the Data Entry Table and then convert the quotient to a percentage. This percentage is the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services for the action.

## LCFF Carryover Table

- **9. Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant:** Provide the total amount of estimated LCFF Target Entitlement for the current school year, excluding the supplemental and concentration grants and the add-ons for the Targeted Instructional Improvement Block Grant program, the former Home-to-School Transportation program, and the Small School District Transportation program, pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8). Note that the LCFF Base Grant for purposes of the LCAP also includes the Necessary Small Schools and Economic

Recovery Target allowances for school districts, and County Operations Grant for COEs. See *EC* sections 2574 (for COEs) and 42238.02 (for school districts and charter schools), as applicable, for LCFF entitlement calculations.

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year:** This percentage will not be entered. The percentage is calculated based on the amounts of the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) and the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6), pursuant to 5 CCR Section 15496(a)(8), plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year. This is the percentage by which services for unduplicated pupils must be increased or improved as compared to the services provided to all students in the current LCAP year.

## Calculations in the Action Tables

To reduce the duplication of effort of LEAs, the Action Tables include functionality such as pre-population of fields and cells based on the information provided in the Data Entry Table, the Annual Update Summary Table, and the Contributing Actions Table. For transparency, the functionality and calculations used are provided below.

### Contributing Actions Table

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds) column.
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services**
  - This percentage is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Planned Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the coming school year (4 divided by 1, plus 5)**
  - This percentage is calculated by dividing the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) by the Projected LCFF Base Grant (1), converting the quotient to a percentage, and adding it to the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5).

### Contributing Actions Annual Update Table

Pursuant to *EC* Section 42238.07(c)(2), if the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is less than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the LEA is required to calculate the difference between the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) and the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (7). If the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4) is equal to or greater than the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants (6), the Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services will display “Not Required.”

- **6. Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and Concentration Grants**
  - This is the total amount of LCFF supplemental and concentration grants the LEA estimates it will actually receive based on of the number and concentration of unduplicated students in the current school year.

- **4. Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (LCFF Funds)**
  - This amount is the total of the Last Year's Planned Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **7. Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (LCFF Funds).
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (Subtract 7 from 4)**
  - This amount is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) subtracted from the Total Planned Contributing Expenditures (4).
- **5. Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Planned Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **8. Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (%)**
  - This amount is the total of the Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services column.
- **Difference Between Planned and Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (Subtract 5 from 8)**
  - This amount is the Total Planned Percentage of Improved Services (5) subtracted from the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).

#### **LCFF Carryover Table**

- **10. Total Percentage to Increase or Improve Services for the Current School Year (6 divided by 9 plus Carryover %)**
  - This percentage is the Estimated Actual LCFF Supplemental and/or Concentration Grants (6) divided by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9) plus the LCFF Carryover – Percentage from the prior year.
- **11. Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (7 divided by 9, plus 8)**
  - This percentage is the Total Estimated Actual Expenditures for Contributing Actions (7) divided by the LCFF Funding (9), then converting the quotient to a percentage and adding the Total Estimated Actual Percentage of Improved Services (8).
- **12. LCFF Carryover — Dollar Amount LCFF Carryover (Subtract 11 from 10 and multiply by 9)**
  - If the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (11) is less than the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (10), the LEA is required to carry over LCFF funds.



The amount of LCFF funds is calculated by subtracting the Estimated Actual Percentage to Increase or Improve Services (11) from the Estimated Actual Percentage of Increased or Improved Services (10) and then multiplying by the Estimated Actual LCFF Base Grant (9). This amount is the amount of LCFF funds that is required to be carried over to the coming year.

- **13. LCFF Carryover — Percentage (12 divided by 9)**

- This percentage is the unmet portion of the Percentage to Increase or Improve Services that the LEA must carry over into the coming LCAP year. The percentage is calculated by dividing the LCFF Carryover (12) by the LCFF Funding (9).

California Department of Education  
November 2023

# Recommendations and Assurances

The School Site Council (SSC) recommends this school plan and proposed expenditures to the district governing board for approval and assures the board of the following:

The SSC is correctly constituted and was formed in accordance with district governing board policy and state law.

The SSC reviewed its responsibilities under state law and district governing board policies, including those board policies relating to material changes in the School Plan for Student Achievement (LCAP) requiring board approval.

The SSC sought and considered all recommendations from the following groups or committees before adopting this plan:

**Signature**

**Committee or Advisory Group Name**



English Learner Advisory Committee  
*Elisa Lee*

The SSC reviewed the content requirements for school plans of programs included in this LCAP and believes all such content requirements have been met, including those found in district governing board policies and in the local educational agency plan.

This LCAP is based on a thorough analysis of student academic performance. The actions proposed herein form a sound, comprehensive, coordinated plan to reach stated school goals to improve student academic performance.

This LCAP was adopted by the SSC at a public meeting on 05/06/2024.

Attested:



Principal, Lisa MacFarland on 05/08/2024



SSC Chairperson, Kate Moody on 05/08/2024